Propositional Logic Solutions

Shashwat Bansal

November 18, 2023

1. Given *n* propositional statements, how many possible combinations of their states exist? In the case we have exactly two propositions *P* and *Q* (n = 2), what are the possible combinations?

Solution: 2^n ; $P \land Q$, $P \land \neg Q$, $\neg P \land Q$, $\neg P \land \neg Q$.

- 2. Convert the following sentences to expressions in propositional logic. You should need at most three propositions per statement. Please specify what the propositions are.
 - (a) It rains. Solution: P := It rains; P.
 - (b) It rains or it doesn't rain. Solution: P := It rains; $P \lor \neg P$.
 - (c) If martians exist, they have red hair. Solution: P := Martians exist, Q := Martians have red hair; $P \implies Q$.
 - (d) He has an Ace only if he does not have a Knight or a Spade
 Solution: P := He has a knight, Q := He has a Spade, R := He has an Ace; ¬(P ∨ Q) ⇒ R.
 - (e) New allocation not being worse than initial endowment is a necessary and sufficient condition for Pareto efficiency.
 Solution: P := New allocation is worse that initial endowment, Q := Pareto efficiency exists; ¬P ⇔ Q.
 - (f) If independence implies uncorrelatedness, correlatedness implies dependence.

Solution: P := **Independence,** Q := **Uncorrelatedness;** $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow$

 $(\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P)$. Alternatively, $P \coloneqq$ Dependence, $Q \coloneqq$ Correlatedness; $(\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q) \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow P)$.

- 3. Which of the following are valid deductions?
 - (a) Assertion: If I leave my umbrella at home, I will get wet today. Hypothesis 1: I got wet, so I must have left my umbrella at home. Hypothesis 2: I didn't leave my umbrella at home, so I will not get wet today.

Hypothesis 3: I did not get wet today, so I must not have left my umbrella at home.

Solution: In propositional form, P := I leave my umbrella at home, Q := I get wet. Assertion: $P \Rightarrow Q$, Hypothesis 1: $Q \Rightarrow P$, Hypothesis 2: $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$, Hypothesis 3: $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$. Hypothesis 3 is the only logical deduction.

(b) Assertion: If two random variables *X* and *Y* are independent, their correlation is zero.

Hypothesis 1: *X* and *Y* have zero correlation, so they are independent. Hypothesis 2: *X* and *Y* don't have zero correlation, so they must not be dependent.

Hypothesis 3: X and Y are dependent, so their correlation is not zero. Solution: This is nearly identical to part (a). P := X and Y are independent, Q := X and Y have zero correlation. Assertion: $P \Rightarrow Q$, Hypothesis 1: $Q \Rightarrow P$, Hypothesis 2: $\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P$, Hypothesis 3: $\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q$. Hypothesis 2 is the only logical deduction.

4. Simplify the following Boolean expression such that it only has three boolean operators:

$$\neg (A \lor \neg C) \lor ((\neg A \land B) \lor B)$$

Solution:

 $\neg (A \lor \neg C) \lor ((\neg A \land B) \lor B)$ Using De Morgan's Law: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land \neg \neg C) \lor ((\neg A \land B) \lor B)$ Double negations cancel: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor ((\neg A \land B) \lor B)$ Identity law: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor ((\neg A \land B) \lor (B \land 1))$ Distributive law: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (B \land (\neg A \lor 1))$ Annulment Law: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (B \land 1)$ Identity law: $\Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor B$

Constructing a truth table verifies our solution.

5. Show that $P \implies Q$ is an equivalent statement to $\neg P \lor Q$ by filling in the following truth table:

Solution:	Р	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \lor Q$	$P \implies Q$
	1	1	0	1	1
	1	0	0	0	0
	0	1	1	1	1
	0	0	1	1	1

6. Fill in the following truth table. Which binary operation have you derived in the last column? (From ∨, ∧, ⇒, ⇔)

	Р	Q	$\neg P$	$\neg P \lor Q$	$\neg Q$	$\neg Q \lor P$	$(\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P)$
	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
Solution:	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
	0	0	1	1	1	1	1

7. What is the boolean expression equivalent to "MUX Out" below? Draw the circuit that corresponds with this expression. The circuit is known as a multiplexer.

Р	Q	S	MUX Out
1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0

Solution: If we can figure out when the output is non-zero, we know the expression. So we consider all the rows with a 1 as their out, and "sum"

them:

$$(P \land Q \land S) \lor (P \land Q \neg S) \lor (P \land \neg Q \land S) \lor (\neg P \land Q \land \neg S)$$

Rearranging via commutativity of disjunction:
$$\Leftrightarrow (P \land Q \land S) \lor (P \land \neg Q \land S) \lor (P \land Q \land \neg S) \lor (\neg P \land Q \land \neg S)$$

Distributivity:
$$\Leftrightarrow (((P \land Q) \lor (P \land \neg Q)) \land S) \lor (((P \land Q)) \lor (\neg P \land Q) \neg S)$$

Distributivity:
$$\Leftrightarrow (P \land (Q \lor \neg Q) \land S) \lor (Q \land (P \lor \neg P) \land \neg S)$$

Complement:
$$\Leftrightarrow (P \land S) \lor (Q \land \neg S)$$



8. Prove that $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow ((Q \Rightarrow \bot) \Rightarrow (P \Rightarrow \bot))$. The consequent is known as the contrapositive.

Solution: Note that in natural deduction style proofs, statements above horizontal lines are assumptions. So lines 1, 2 and 3 are assumptions, while lines 4 and 5 are deductions from the assumptions (Modus Ponens):