

Propositional Logic Solutions

Shashwat Bansal

November 18, 2023

1. Given n propositional statements, how many possible combinations of their states exist? In the case we have exactly two propositions P and Q ($n = 2$), what are the possible combinations?
2. Convert the following sentences to expressions in propositional logic. You should need at most three propositions per statement. Please specify what the propositions are.
 - (a) It rains.
 - (b) It rains or it doesn't rain.
 - (c) If martians exist, they have red hair.
 - (d) He has an Ace only if he does not have a Knight or a Spade
 - (e) New allocation not being worse than initial endowment is a necessary and sufficient condition for Pareto efficiency.
 - (f) If independence implies uncorrelatedness, correlatedness implies dependence.
3. Which of the following are valid deductions?
 - (a) Assertion: If I leave my umbrella at home, I will get wet today.
Hypothesis 1: I got wet, so I must have left my umbrella at home.

Hypothesis 2: I didn't leave my umbrella at home, so I will not get wet today.

Hypothesis 3: I did not get wet today, so I must not have left my umbrella at home.

- (b) Assertion: If two random variables X and Y are independent, their correlation is zero.

Hypothesis 1: X and Y have zero correlation, so they are independent.

Hypothesis 2: X and Y don't have zero correlation, so they must not be dependent.

Hypothesis 3: X and Y are dependent, so their correlation is not zero.

4. Simplify the following Boolean expression such that it only has three boolean operators:

$$\neg(A \vee \neg C) \vee ((\neg A \wedge B) \vee B)$$

5. Show that $P \implies Q$ is an equivalent statement to $\neg P \vee Q$ by filling in the following truth table:
6. Fill in the following truth table. Which binary operation have you derived in the last column? (From $\vee, \wedge, \implies, \iff$)
7. What is the boolean expression equivalent to "MUX Out" below? Draw the circuit that corresponds with this expression. The circuit is known as a multiplexer.

P	Q	S	MUX Out
1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0
0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0

8. Prove that $(P \implies Q) \implies ((Q \implies \perp) \implies (P \implies \perp))$. The consequent is known as the contrapositive.